

## **Rose Adams, losing and selling children across country**

EDITORS NOTE: We have since found one more child she lost since writing this. I did find all of the children (I hope) and managed to reunite them but sadly some of them have issues that finding each other made even worse. They had so much to deal with as children that it's no wonder, I hope someday they can put the evil that that woman brought into their lives past them and all come together. It is a horrible legacy for any child but I truly hope they can overcome it.

HERE IS THE LINK TO THE WASHINGTON STATE WEBSITE THAT SHOWS HER INFO

[Docket Number: 50636-6-I](#)

[In RE the Dependency Of: J.A.B. \(dob 8/20/92\) v. Rose Adams and George Beutler, App. V. Dshs, Resp. File Date: 03/03/2003](#)

This is Rose Adams record of her termination of parental rights, with several diagnosis from several different providers. It should be noted that she also lost her children because of physical & emotional abuse & is now in possession of 7 defenseless dogs. A copy of this can be found at the Washington state courts home page to verify as well. Please keep in mind these are public records that are accessible to the public, so if you have any ideas of starting a smear campaign against anyone you should hope your own record is squeaky clean... You never know what anyone can find on line about you. You might also think this was a great find, in terms of my defense it was but to think of those children living in fear & being abused & then being called a liar by their mother when they finally spoke out, there is no joy in a child's pain or suffering. The worst part is that she now runs ads on craigslist every week looking for this child, & for one she just dumped in Florida. She used the death of a cat she let die slowly & painfully as a way to intrude in her daughter's life knowing full well that is the only way or reason that she would talk to her... The things she has done to me are only a fraction of what she has done to her own flesh & blood children.

Court of Appeals Division I State of Washington

Opinion Information Sheet Docket Number: 50636-6-I

Title of Case: In RE the Dependency Of: J.A.B. (dob 8/20/92)

v.

Rose Adams and George Beutler, App. V. Dshs, Resp.

File Date: 03/03/2003

SOURCE OF APPEAL Appeal from Superior Court of Snohomish County

Docket No: 017006963 Judgment or order under review

Date filed: 06/06/2002 Judge signing: Hon. Richard J. Thorpe

JUDGES

COUNSEL OF RECORD

Counsel for Appellant(s) Eric Broman

Nielsen Broman & Assoc. PLLC 810 3rd Ave Ste 320 Seattle, WA 98104

Oliver R. Davis Washington Appellate Project Cobb Bldg

1305 4th Ave Ste 802 Seattle, WA 98101

Counsel for Respondent(s) Scott D. Wessel-Estes

3501 Colby Ave Ste 200 Everett, WA 98201

Counsel for Guardian(s) Ad Litem  
Alayne L. Spaulding 1604 Hewitt Ave Ste 401  
2910 Colby Ave Everett, WA 98201

Counsel for Minor(s)

Jennifer L. Coombs 2828 Colby Ave Ste 402 Everett, WA 98201

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN RE THE DEPENDENCY OF: No. 50636-6-I

J.A.B., consol. with Cause Nos.

DOB: 08/20/92, 50637-4-I, 50739-7-I

C.A.,

DOB: 05/31/86, DIVISION ONE

Minor Children.

ROSE ADAMS and GEORGE BEUTLER,

Appellants,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES,

Respondent. FILED

Per Curiam. In this consolidated appeal, Rose Adams and George

Beutler challenge the order terminating their parental rights in their son, J.B. Adams also challenges the termination of her parental rights in C.A., J.B.'s half-sister. Because the State has satisfied its burden of proving the statutory elements set forth in RCW 13.34.180 and RCW 13.34.190, we affirm.

#### Facts

Adams and Beutler are the biological parents of J.B., who was born on August 20, 1992. Adams is also the biological mother of C.A., who was born on May 31, 1986. Both C.A. and J.B. were removed from the home following the filing of a dependency petition in February 2000. The dependency petition recited a lengthy history of referrals and concerns about neglect, anger management, domestic violence, parenting skills, and mental health issues. In particular, the petition alleged that Adams had physically and verbally assaulted both children and expressed concerns about Beutler's ability to protect the children. **1 In June 2000, C.A. and J.B. were found dependent as to Adams; J.B. was found dependent as to Beutler. Under the terms of the agreed dependency order, Adams and Beutler acknowledged that there had been "extreme family conflict" in the home, including "inappropriate discipline" of the children. The parents also acknowledged that there had been indications of substance abuse, including the fact that Beutler had tested positive in February 2000 for amphetamine/methamphetamine and THC. The agreed disposition plan provided that both Adams and Beutler were to complete approved substance abuse evaluations and follow any recommendations for treatment. Both parents were also required to complete a psychological assessment with a parenting component and follow all treatment recommendations. An assessment of Adams found no indication of substance dependency or abuse; she completed a drug education class in September 2000. After an evaluation at Pacific Treatment Alternatives, Beutler was diagnosed with a cannabis dependency and directed to complete outpatient treatment. He tested positive for marijuana or THC in September 2000. Beutler submitted another UA specimen in October 2000 that was found to be "adulterated." Dr. Jolynn-Marie Wagner, a licensed**

**psychologist, completed the court-ordered psychological assessments of both parents in late 2000. The assessments were delayed for several months while Dr. Wagner waited for Adams and Beutler to return questionnaires. Dr. Wagner never received the questionnaires and issued her report in January 2001. As part of the evaluation, Dr. Wagner interviewed Adams and Beutler separately and together and observed Beutler interacting with J.B. and Adams interacting with J.B. and C.A. Dr. Wagner diagnosed Adams with antisocial personality disorder, finding her to be hostile and with little insight into the events involving her children. Adams also displayed features of narcissistic personality disorder and borderline personality disorder. Adams generally blamed “the system” for her children’s behavior and emotional difficulties. According to Dr. Wagner, it is difficult to treat individuals with a similar profile because they tend to blame others. Dr. Wagner observed little evidence of bonding between Adams and C.A. or Adams and J.B. and concluded that an attempt at reunification with their mother was not in the children’s best interest Dr. Wagner diagnosed Beutler with dependent personality disorder, noting his difficulty in expressing disagreement with others, his excessive need for others to assume responsibility for most major areas in his life, and his difficulty in making everyday decisions without advice and reassurance. Dr. Wagner found Beutler’s dependency reflected in his commitment to the relationship with Adams, which was generally controlled by Adams and in which Beutler was demoralized and verbally abused. Dr. Wagner observed a relatively strong bond between Beutler and J.B. Based on Dr. Wagner’s evaluation, the court eventually ordered both Adams and Beutler to participate in psychotherapy and parenting training. Beutler, who completed a recommended drug treatment program in March 2001, was directed to participate in any recommended after-care. In November 2001, both Adams and Beutler were ordered to undergo a domestic violence assessment. Beutler was directed to provide a UA sample on November 15, 2001, but it appeared to be adulterated. Following a review hearing on November 21, 2001, Beutler was ordered to have “hands-on experiential parenting training” after he completed an approved parenting class and established and maintained a separate residence. Beutler was also ordered to attend regular NA or AA meetings and provide documentation to the court and parties. Beutler moved out of Adams’ house shortly after the hearing and obtained a separate residence. But he went to Adams’ house on December 16, 2001, in violation of a restraining order. After January 2002, Beutler had no further contact with Theresa Espana, his social worker. No visitation occurred between Adams and C.A. during the two-year dependency. Visitation would have been permitted at C.A.’s request, but she never expressed a desire to see her mother. Adams’ visitation with J.B. was suspended in February 2001, because she was not in compliance with services and was not making progress. Visitation was never restored. Beutler participated in visitation with J.B. for most of the dependency period, although the frequency was reduced After a fact-finding hearing in May 2002, the trial court terminated Adams’ parental rights in C.A. and J.B. Among other things, the trial court found that despite participating in extensive services for many years, Adams had made only minimal progress and that no amount of services could correct her parental deficiencies. The court also terminated Beutler’s parental rights in J.B, finding that he had failed to complete certain court-ordered services, failed to stay in contact with his social worker, and that he had been unable to sever his relationship with Adams. The court concluded that even though there was evidence of a bond between Beutler and J.B., termination was in J.B.’s best interest.**

**Decision Standard of Review**

An order of permanent termination of the parent-child relationship may be entered when the statutory elements set forth in RCW 13.34.180(1) through (6)<sup>2</sup> are established by clear, cogent and convincing evidence and the court finds that termination is in the best interests of the child.<sup>3</sup> Deference to the trial court is particularly important in review of termination decisions.<sup>4</sup> An appellate court will not disturb the trial court's findings "unless clear, cogent and convincing evidence does not exist in the record."<sup>5</sup> Rose Adams Adams first contends that the trial court erroneously considered the children's hearsay allegations as substantive evidence of physical abuse. Prior to trial, Adams moved to exclude statements that C.A. and J.B. had made to various persons alleging that she had physically abused them. The allegations were then repeated in reports that witnesses prepared during the course of the dependency. At the termination hearing, Adams flatly denied ever abusing J.B. or C.A. Beutler denied that he ever saw Adams abusing the children, but acknowledged that he suspected physical abuse when he heard yelling and something that sounded like slapping. Several times during the hearing, the trial court ruled that the hearsay statements would not be considered as substantive evidence; rather "{w}hatever the child is reported to have said will not be accepted for the purpose – for the truth of the matter asserted, simply as information that was gathered by the witness for the purpose of reaching a conclusion."<sup>6</sup> The trial court reiterated its ruling during the presentation of findings: And I want the Court of Appeals to understand that I did not take any of that stuff as substantive fact. I was sustaining – I was in a constant state of sustaining the hearsay.<sup>7</sup> The trial court then entered the following findings of fact: 1.28 J.B. and C.A. consistently reported ongoing emotional and physical abuse by the biological mother to the evaluators in this case, the therapists, school personal {sic}, social worker, and guardian ad litem. Any hearsay statements were not proof of abuse but the fact that J.B. and C.A. made consistent repeated statements is evidence of abuse. 1.29 The totality of the trial evidence confirms, and this court finds that the children were physically abused by the mother. The trial court also found that Beutler's testimony denying knowledge of abuse was not credible. Adams argues that by considering the hearsay statements cumulatively, the trial court effectively reversed the ruling that it would not consider the statements as substantive evidence of abuse. She maintains that without the hearsay evidence of physical abuse, the evidence of parental unfitness was insufficient to support the termination of her parental rights. The State does not assert that the trial court's reasoning is supported by authority, but argues that the children's statements were nonetheless admissible as statements for the purpose of medical diagnosis or treatment under ER 803(a)(4), an argument that the trial court rejected in conjunction with Dr. Wagner's testimony. Because the trial court repeatedly ruled that the children's statements would not be considered as substantive evidence of abuse, the parties never fully identified the relevant hearsay statements or addressed the specific circumstances surrounding the statements. The findings themselves do not identify the specific nature of the "physical abuse." Under the circumstances, we decline the State's invitation to review the record in order to find an alternative basis upon which to sustain the trial court's finding of physical abuse. **But even without the finding of physical abuse, the record supports the trial court's termination of Adams' parental rights. Adams does not challenge the trial court's finding that she has been offered all necessary and reasonable services, and the record demonstrates that Adams has participated in numerous services, including parenting classes, anger management classes, domestic violence classes, counseling, and in-home therapy services. But the State's witnesses clearly established that Adams had made no significant progress in her ability to parent J.B. or C.A. Dr. Wagner, who diagnosed Adams with an antisocial personality disorder, noted that during the psychological**

evaluation, Adams frequently became angry and raised her voice, cursing and going off on tangential topics. Adams dominated the conversation whenever Beutler was present and frequently abused him verbally. Despite her acknowledgement at the time of the agreed dependency order that there had been extreme family conflict and inappropriate discipline, Adams blamed C.A., Beutler, and “the system” for the family’s difficulties, and characterized C.A. as manipulative and dishonest. The record was also undisputed that J.B. and C.A. suffer from severe emotional or behavioral problems. J.B. had gone through extensive counseling, with the recognition that he needed continued social and emotional development in a structured and safe environment. C.A. told Dr. Wagner that her greatest fear was that her mother was correct and that she was a bad child. No evidence indicated that Adams had any insight into the severity of the children’s problems, whatever their source, or that she could acquire any meaningful ability to respond to the children’s needs in the foreseeable future. Dr. Wagner also observed little evidence of a psychological bond in the interaction between Adams and J.B. and C.A. J.B. remained unresponsive to Adams’ attempts at affection, and Adams exhibited little eye contact, smiling, or verbal give and take in her interaction with both children. Adams did not respond to C.A.’s rudeness and the two maintained a wide distance between one another. Based on her evaluation, Adams’ inability to control her anger, lack of insight, poor prognosis for treatment, and failure to benefit from many years of services, C.A.’s strong desire to remain in her father’s home, and J.B.’s expressed fear of Adams, Dr. Wagner concluded that reunification with their mother was not in the children’s best interest. Other State witnesses reached similar conclusions. Ellis Amdur, a child mental health specialist, interviewed Adams in conjunction with an assessment of C.A.’s placement with her father. During the interview, Adams asserted that all of C.A.’s allegations were false and blamed C.A. for many of the things that had happened during the dependency. Adams denied any personal responsibility. Dr. Bramhall diagnosed Adams with a bipolar II disorder, “characterized by alternating cycles of hypomania and depression and recently mixed states.” During the interview, Adams insisted that prior CPS referrals had all been based on deliberate lies. Dr. Bramhall concluded that Adams’ inability to control her anger prevented her from forming significant attachments with her children and setting appropriate limits. Leila Copeland testified that she provided in-home parenting services for Adams for approximately 18 months. Copeland felt that Adams wanted to make changes in her behavior patterns, that she was resourceful in seeking out assistance in the community, and that there was improvement in Adams’ ability to control her anger as to the child that remained in her home. But Copeland also observed that Adams frequently reverted to old habits. Copeland characterized Adams’ overall progress with parenting, anger management, and communication skills as “minimal.” At the end of the intervention, Copeland concluded that Adams had “minimal” insight into the effect of her actions on others. Clear, cogent, and convincing evidence supports the trial court’s findings that all necessary and reasonably available services capable of correcting parental deficiencies have been offered or provided, that no amount of services will be capable of correcting Adams’ parental deficiencies, and that there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied within the foreseeable future. Continuation of the parent-child relationship clearly diminishes C.A.’s and J.B.’s prospects for integration into a stable and permanent home.<sup>8</sup> Substantial evidence also established that termination of Adams’ parental rights is in the best interests of C.A. and J.B. Even without the finding of physical abuse, the State satisfied its burden under RCW 13.34.180 and RCW 13.34.190; substantial evidence supports the trial court’s termination order

as to Adams.

George Beutler

Beutler contends that the State failed to prove that all necessary and reasonably available services were expressly and understandably offered.<sup>9</sup> He argues that Theresa Espana, his social worker, failed to provide updated and meaningful referral lists and that certain services were either not available or not provided. Beutler acknowledged that he had a substance abuse problem and that treatment was instrumental to maintaining his parental rights. Although he completed a treatment program in March 2001, the record shows that Beutler delayed significantly in pursuing recommended self-help meetings. In November 2001, the court ordered him to attend AA or NA meetings and provide documentation to the court and parties. At the termination hearing in May 2002, Beutler testified that he had been attending NA meetings regularly, but acknowledged that he had never submitted any documentation and that he had stopped all contact with his social worker after January 2002. Dr. Wagner recommended that Beutler participate in domestic violence treatment and psychotherapy. In November 2001, the court specifically ordered Beutler to participate in domestic violence treatment. Beutler apparently contacted Catholic Community Services, an agency that he was familiar with, but maintained that he could not afford the \$75 assessment fee. Noting the evidence to the contrary, the trial court rejected this explanation and found that Beutler could afford the assessment fee. The record supports the trial court's finding. Beutler did not begin individual counseling until August 2001, and he ended these sessions in January 2002 when his medical coupons ran out. Beutler testified that he contacted the social worker once about additional funding, but he admitted that he never followed up. At the time of the termination hearing, Beutler was participating only in a monthly group parenting session. He testified that he did not believe that he had an anger management problem or needed to participate in domestic violence treatment. The record establishes that the primary issue was Beutler's apparent unwillingness to participate in or follow through with the necessary services that were reasonably available. Beutler also rejected all contact with the social worker after January 2002 and failed to provide information releases or supply updated contact information. A parent's unwillingness or inability to use the treatment and evaluation services provided excuses the State from offering extra services that might have been helpful.<sup>10</sup> Clear, cogent, and convincing evidence established that all necessary and reasonably available services were expressly and understandably offered. Beutler next contends that the State failed to prove that there was little likelihood that conditions would be remedied so that J.B. could be returned in the future.<sup>11</sup> He maintains that he had successfully participated in nearly all of the required services. But for the reasons set forth above, we disagree. Moreover, contrary to Beutler's assertion, his separation from Adams does not suggest that he is now able to provide a safe environment for J.B. Beutler conceded that his relationship with Adams was hostile, violent, abusive, and extremely harmful to J.B. and that separation was necessary to insure J.B.'s safety. Beutler did not establish a separate residence until the court ordered him to do so in November 2001. Less than one month later, he violated a court order by going to Adams' residence. Several witnesses testified that Adams and Beutler were continuing to see one another shortly before the termination hearing. Substantial evidence supports the trial court's finding that there is little likelihood Beutler's deficiencies will be remedied so that J.B. can be returned in the near future. Continuation of Beutler's parental relationship clearly diminished J.B.'s prospects for early integration into a stable and permanent home.<sup>12</sup> J.B. had moved to a pre-adoptive home several months before the termination hearing and had bonded with the new family. He has severe emotional problems that must be addressed in a secure and

nurturing environment. Beutler's inability to leave his destructive relationship with Adams makes it unlikely that he will be able to offer the stable environment that is necessary for J.B.'s well being in the foreseeable future. Under the circumstances, the State has established by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence that continuation of Beutler's parental relationship diminishes J.B.'s prospects for early integration into a stable and permanent home. Finally, Beutler contends that termination was not in J.B.'s best interests. This argument rests primarily on testimony by several witnesses that there was an obvious bond between Beutler and J.B. In addition, Beutler's testimony reflected a great affection for his son. But the evidence also established that termination is necessary if J.B. is to obtain the stability and permanence that he requires. Where the needs of child and the rights of a parent conflict, the needs of the child must prevail.<sup>13</sup> The record supports the trial court's determination that termination was in J.B.'s best interests. Affirmed. For the court: 1C.A. had been found dependent in 1991 and removed from the home until 1994, when the dependency was dismissed. 2RCW 13.34.180(1) provides in part: (a) That the child has been found to be a dependent child; (b) That the court has entered a dispositional order pursuant to RCW 13.34.130; (c) That the child has been removed or will, at the time of the hearing, have been removed from the custody of the parent for a period of at least six months pursuant to a finding of dependency; (d) That the services ordered under RCW 13.34.136 have been expressly and understandably offered or provided and all necessary services, reasonably available, capable of correcting the parental deficiencies within the foreseeable future have been expressly and understandably offered or provided; (e) That there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied so that the child can be returned to the parent in the near future. A parent's failure to substantially improve parental deficiencies within twelve months following entry of the dispositional order shall give rise to a rebuttable presumption that there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied so that the child can be returned to the parent in the near future. The presumption shall not arise unless the petitioner makes a showing that all necessary services reasonably capable of correcting the parental deficiencies within the foreseeable future have been clearly offered or provided. . . . (f) That continuation of the parent and child relationship clearly diminishes the child's prospects for early integration into a stable and permanent home. 3RCW 13.34.190(2). 4In re Dependency of K.R., 128 Wn. 2d 129, 144, 904 P.2d 1132 (1995). 5In re K.R., 128 Wn.2d at 144. 6Report of Proceedings (May 7, 2002), at 84. 7RP (June 6, 2002), at 9. 8See RCW 13.34.180(1)(d), (e), (f). 9RCW 13.34.180(1)(d). 10In re Ramquist, 52 Wn. App. 854, 861, 765 P.2d 30 (1988). 11RCW 13.34.180(1)(e). 12RCW 13.34.180(1)(f). 13In re Aschauer, 93 Wn.2d 689, 695, 611 P.2d 1245 (1980).

**This is the boy she lost to the state... This is also information she has posted on the web, if it is false then it is her that is lying...**

**Submitted By:** Rose Adams (a Birth Mother)

**Originally Added:** Dec 19, 2010


**Last Updated:** Dec 19, 2010, 5:27 am

Highlights of the Adoption Record — the Adoptee			
<b>Birthplace</b>	Washington	<b>Adoptee's Birthname</b>	John Beutler
<b>Birthdate</b>	Aug 20, 1992	<b>Birthmother's Name</b>	Rose Adams
<b>Gender</b>	Male	<b>Birthfather's Name</b>	George Beutler

<b>Agency</b>	DSHS
<b>Hospital</b>	Swedish Hospital of Seattle

**Contact Page includes:**


  
 Contact Rose  
 >

### Birth & Adoption Details

<b>Adoptee's Birthdate</b>	Aug 20, 1992	<b>Adoption Location</b>	Washington, USA	<b>Local Cert</b>	146-1992-083183
<b>Birthplace</b>	Seattle, Washington, USA	<b>Hospital</b>	Swedish Hospital of Seattle		
<b>Adoptee's Gender</b>	Male	<b>Doctor</b>	Vera Stefanic?		
<b>Multiple Birth</b>	No				
<b>BirthMother's Age</b>	33				
<b>Attorney/Agency</b>	DSHS				

### Other Information

Birthmother's age at adoption is an estimated guess. John had an extremely strong bond with his birth father, George Albert Beutler. As a small child, John had curly blonde hair like his dad, and brown eyes like his mom, and he had his dad's nose which runs in his dad's family. He was a very sweet natured child, very loving, and caring. He loved animals, and had a unique way with them just like his dad does, and his dad's mom did. John has three (3) siblings, all older than him. They are Charles E. Adams, Jr. age 25, Charlene E. Adams (now Atongiovanni) age 24, and David J. Robinson age 20. This was done on December 19th, 2010. John was 18 years old on August 20th, 2010. I also want John to know that he was not given up by our free will. We NEVER wanted to let him go. We love him very much, and miss him dearly!

### Adoptee

<b>Nickname</b>	John John
-----------------	-----------

### Birthmother

<b>First Name</b>	Rose
<b>Middle</b>	Marie



Birth First Name	John
Birth Middle Name	Albert
Birth Last Name	Beutler
Birth Date	Aug 20, 1992
Birth Place	Washington
Gender	male
Eye Color	brown
Race	White
Brothers	Charles E. Adams, Jr. age 25, and David J. Robinson age 20
Sisters	Charlene Adams (now her last name is: Antongiovanni) age 24
Other Info	Birth mother BELIEVES that John's name may now be John Foss, but NOT POSITIVE. I do know he had an adopted brother through his adopted family named: Eric OR Erik, and may have been a few years older, or even a few years younger than John.

#### Birthfather

First Name	George
Middle Name	Albert
Last Name	Beutler
Nickname	N/A
Birth Date	Jun 21, 1959
Race	White
Married at Birth	no
Married at Adoption	no

Name	
Last Name	Adams
Nickname	N/A
Maiden Name	Landers
Birth Date	Oct 25, 1968
Race	White
Married at Birth	no
Married at Adoption	no
Age at Birth	23
Age at Adoption	33
Occupation	Housewife
Old Occupation	Housewife
Education	GED
Married	yes
Spouse Name	George Albert Beutler
Spouse Info	George is a wonderful man, very loving and caring, very kind and gentle, and really good with animals. He is an Auto Mechanic, collects the older Mopar cars, like the "1974" Dodge Charger. George likes to play the guitar in his spare time. He has a cat that he has had for 17 years now named "Junkyard Kitty".
Brothers	Mother had one brother who passed away when he was 17 on Christmas Eve.
Sisters	N/A
Other Information	Mother participates largely in animal rescue. Mother was also adopted. and found her

Age at Birth	33
Age at Adoption	43
Occupation	Auto Mechanic
Old Occupation	Auto Mechanic
Education	High School Diploma & Some College while in the military.
Married	yes
Spouse Name	Rose Marie (Landers) Adams
Brothers	One brother who is older than me named: Frank.
Sisters	Four sister that are older than me named: Mary Jane, Carrie Ellen, Leona, and Susan AKA Susie. One sister that is younger than me named: Edith.
Military	yes
Branch	Army
Other Information	I was an "All Wheeled Vehicle Mechanic", and a "Medic", in the Army. I love cars, animals, music, and the guitar. At the time that you left our house we had a cat named: Junkyard Kitty, and he is still alive, and we still have him. We also had two Rottwieliers named: Dixie and Goliath.

<p>birth family in December of 1998, and John went with me and his sister, Charlene, to go meet them in California. John called my mom "Nonni" which is Italian for Grandma.</p>
--

**This is the child she sold in Florida, her statement to me was "We needed the money, & I already had 2 brats" So again if this is false, this is what she told me from her own mouth & what she has posted on line.**

**Submitted By:** Rose Adams (a Birth Mother)

**Originally Added:** Nov 22, 2007

**Last Updated:** Dec 19, 2010, 5:09 am

### Highlights of the Adoption Record — the Adoptee

<b>Birthplace</b>	Florida	<b>Birthmother's Name</b>	Rose Adams
<b>Birthdate</b>	Jun 1, 1987	<b>Birthfather's Name</b>	Charles Adams, Sr.
<b>Gender</b>	Female	<b>Maternity Home</b>	N/A
<b>Agency</b>	Atty. Charles Nuestein		
<b>Hospital</b>	Jackson Memorial Hospital		

#### Contact Page includes:

- [Send an E-mail](#)
- [Phone Number](#)
- [Mailing Address](#)



Contact Rose  
> [click here](#)

#### Birth & Adoption Details

<b>Adoptee's Birthdate</b>	Jun 1, 1987	<b>Adoption Location</b>	Miami, or Miami Beach, Florida, USA	<b>Caseworker</b>	N/A
<b>Birthplace</b>	Miami, Florida, USA	<b>Maternity Home</b>	N/A		
<b>Adoptee's Gender</b>	Female	<b>Orphanage</b>	N/A		
<b>Multiple Birth</b>	No	<b>Hospital</b>	Jackson Memorial Hospital		
<b>BirthMother's Age</b>	18				
<b>Attorney/Agency</b>	Atty. Charles Nuestein				

#### Other Information

She was not given a name at birth. She had brown hair & brown eyes. Her birth parents were married at the time of her birth. Birth parents are: Rose Marie (maiden name: Landers) Adams, and Charles Edward Adams, Sr. Both birth parents currently reside in Washington State. Mother in Seattle, and father in Tacoma, WA. Parents are now divorced. It was a private adoption through a law office. Law Offices of Nuestein, in Miami Beach, Florida. She was born on June 1st, 1987 (06-01-1987), in Miami, Florida, at Jackson Memorial Hospital, sometime after dark. We are very eager to hear from her.

#### Adoptee

<b>Birth Date</b>	Jun 1, 1987
-------------------	-------------

#### Other

<b>Relation to Adoptee</b>	Birth Mother
----------------------------	--------------

Birth Place	Florida
Gender	female
Hair Color	brown
Eye Color	brown
Handicap	none
Handicap	none
Race	White
Brothers	One full brother at the time of her birth, and he is two years, two weeks, and one day older than her. Now she has two half brothers, by her birth father, and now has two half brothers by her birth mother (me).
Sisters	At the time of her birth she had one full sister. Her sister is one year & one day older than her.

#### Birthmother

First Name	Rose
Middle Name	Marie
Last Name	Adams
Nickname	N/A
Maiden Name	Landers
Birth Date	Oct 25, 1968
Handicap	none
Handicap	none
Race	White
Married at Birth	yes
Married at Adoption	yes
Age at Birth	18
Age at Adoption	18

First Name	Rose
Middle Name	Marie
Last Name	Adams
Nickname	N/A
Maiden Name	Landers
Birth Date	Oct 25, 1968
Gender	female
Handicap	none
Handicap	none
Race	White
Occupation	Housewife
Old Occupation	Housewife
Education	GED
Married	yes
Spouse Name	Charles Edward Adams, Sr.
Spouse Info	Now divorced from Charles Adams, Sr., and he lives in Tacoma, WA.
Brothers	One. Deceased as of 12/24/1984. He was adopted too.
Sisters	None.
Military	no
Location	N/A
Other Information	She was born @ Jackson Memorial Hospital. It was a private adoption, by Attorney Charles "Chuck" Nuestein, in Miami Beach, Florida. I myself was adopted out of California in 1968, and I found my birth family in December of 2008.

#### Birthfather

Occupation	Housewife
Old Occupation	Housewife
Education	GED
Married	yes
Spouse Name	Charles Edward Adams, Sr.
Spouse Info	Husband was 26 at the time of birth. He has dark brown hair.
Brothers	One brother. Deceased as of 12-24-1984. He was 17 when he passed away. He was also adopted, and I found his birth mom to let her know.
Sisters	None.
Military	no
Location	N/A
Other Information	I myself was adopted in 1968 out of California, and found my birth family in December of 1998. Both my parents are deceased. My mom died in September of 1990, in Homestead, Florida, and is buried in Santa Clara, California, and my dad lived in Temple, Georgia, but died in Seattle, Washington, on August 10th, 2004. My birth mother passed away in February of 2008, in Bakersfield, California.

First Name	Charles
Middle Name	Edward
Last Name	Adams, Sr.
Nickname	N/A
Birth Date	Aug 25, 1960
Handicap	none
Handicap	none
Race	White
Married at Birth	yes
Married at Adoption	yes
Age at Birth	26
Age at Adoption	26
Occupation	Roofer
Old Occupation	Roofer
Education	GED
Married	yes
Spouse Name	Rose Marie (Landers) Adams
Spouse Info	Wife was 18 at the time of birth, and we already had two children, a son, and a daughter.
Brothers	Two brothers, both younger, and one deceased, at age one. The brother that is still alive lives in South Florida.
Sisters	One sister, she is a year younger, and lives in South Florida.
Military	no
Location	N/A
Other Information	My father died in 1975, in Homestead, Florida. which

	is where he is also buried. My mother is still alive, and lives in South Florida.
--	---